Notice of Meeting



Scan here to access the public documents for this meeting

Executive

Thursday, 13th February, 2020 at 5.00 pm

in the Council Chamber, Council Offices, Market Street, Newbury

Note: The Council broadcasts some of its meetings on the internet, known as webcasting. If this meeting is webcasted, please note that any speakers addressing this meeting could be filmed. If you are speaking at a meeting and do not wish to be filmed, please notify the Chairman before the meeting takes place. Please note however that you will be audio-recorded.

Date of despatch of Agenda: Thursday, 6 February 2020

For further information about this Agenda, or to inspect any background documents referred to in Part I reports, please contact Democratic Services Team on (01635) 519462

e-mail: executivecycle@westberks.gov.uk

Further information and Minutes are also available on the Council's website at www.westberks.gov.uk



Agenda - Executive to be held on Thursday, 13 February 2020 *(continued)*

To: Councillors Steve Ardagh-Walter, Dominic Boeck,
Councillor Graham Bridgman, Hilary Cole, Lynne Doherty, Rick Jones,
Ross Mackinnon, Richard Somner and Howard Woollaston

Agenda

Part I Page(s)

9. Revenue Budget 2020/21 (C3812)

3 - 4

Purpose: To consider and recommend to Council the 2020/21 Revenue Budget, which proposes a Council Tax requirement of £102.06m, requiring a Council Tax increase of 1.99% and a 2% adult social care Council Tax Precept. The Council Tax increase will raise £2.1m and the precept will raise a further £2.1m ring-fenced for adult social care. The report also proposes the Fees and Charges for 2020/21 as set out in Appendix F and the Parish Expenses as set out in Appendix G and recommends the level of General Reserves as set out in Appendix E.

Sarah Clarke Head of Legal and Strategic Support

West Berkshire Council Strategy Priorities

Council Strategy Priorities:

PC1: Ensure our vulnerable children and adults achieve better outcomes

PC2: Support everyone to reach their full potential

OFB1: Support businesses to start, develop and thrive in West Berkshire GP1: Develop local infrastructure to support and grow the local economy

GP2: Maintain a green district

SIT1: Ensure sustainable services through innovation and partnerships

If you require this information in a different format or translation, please contact Moira Fraser on telephone (01635) 519045.



Agenda Item 9.

Appendix 16.10

Budget Consultation Response UNISON West Berkshire Local Government Branch

11th February 2020

Council Tax Increase

UNISON notes the Revenue Budget, particularly the recommendations that Council Tax should be increased by a total of 3.99%, comprising a 2% adult social care precept. It is heartening firstly that the authority has recognised the abject folly of the self-harming efforts of past administrations in resisting this increase in the hope of some kind of congratulatory indulgence from central government. This damaging approach has led to the council already being worse off than its peers thanks to the comparatively lower base it has forced itself to operate from.

The futility of seeking approval from a government which seems - at best - to be sceptical of public services is no better demonstrated than the withdrawal of West Berkshire from the Business Rates pilot. UNISON believes this further proves the pointlessness of slavishly adhering to a failed austerity model in the hope of catching some crumbs from the top table as a reward. It's hard not to conclude that West Berkshire has been stabbed in the back by central government by the goalposts yet again moving, and the continued absence of the Fair Funding review long-promised only stands to underline the relative priority that the government places upon local government nationally.

It is also true that residents reading these budget papers will be alarmed at further rises in their Council Tax. UNISON has some sympathy for this view, however, the amount of money taken out of local government must be mitigated. Council employees (and UNISON members) are not immune from these rises in tax. It's noted that the least able to pay are scarcely protected from this increase owing to decisions made to re-calibrate and raise the threshold for claiming reductions in liability in 2019/20, which comes after previous erosions of the same scheme. Salient questions on behalf of residents (and staff) will remain, which are noted below.

Staff Impact

UNISON welcomes that redundancies will be kept to a bare minimum. However, the contortions required to maintain a headline of "no cuts to services" conceal the true scale of the impact on staff. Some savings from the £3.24m needed will be feasibly found courtesy of ever dwindling "rationalisation" opportunities and "New Ways of Working", but the hard-edged truth of the matter is that all staff will be asked to again deliver more, with fewer resources, for less reward at greater risk to their personal wellbeing and prosperity.

West Berkshire does not currently have an Employee Assistance Programme in place. The Labour Research Department has found that staff wages for certain roles are in the bottom 25% nationally in an area which is among the most expensive in

which to live. This represents a perfect storm when it comes to attracting and retaining frontline staff. UNISON has evidence of its members turning to food banks to survive and emergency hardship loans needing to be introduced to mitigate bargain-basement salaries.

Further, it's noted that in Appendix D of the Revenue Budget a summary of Savings/Income and Implications is listed. It is clearly untrue to say – for instance – that training budgets savings of £22,000 (R22) will have "no implications" or that directorate efficiency reviews saving "£56,000" (R23) will have "no implications". These will of course have implications on staff, recruitment and retention. To claim otherwise is disingenuous.

Investment Strategy

Further questions must be asked about the investment strategy. The "windfall" of cheap lending from the Public Works and Loans Board has led to a spree across other authorities which has echoes of the ill-fated PFI in terms of its long term impact on public finances.

Borrowed money is being shunted around the regions via investment by Local Authorities based elsewhere to prop up a commercial property sector blighted by decade-long austerity. I am sure some elected members will remember fondly the trite comments by Margaret Thatcher that "the problem with socialism is that eventually you run out of other people's money". Failing a sudden conversion amidst their ranks, it is therefore deeply odd that a Conservative council should abandon such principles and embrace spending "other people's money" with quite such socialist enthusiasm. Especially on behalf of residents who will incur the debt, and especially where they see no direct benefit from it.

A market in flux and an uncertain economic future has led to the divestment of this large sum being curtailed and a considerable chunk (£38m) of the original £100m swilling around in the council's pockets incurring interest payments. UNISON again draws attention to the "Preston Model", where local and in-house solutions were found that actively improved the prosperity of the area which the authority serves. Instead we have a council which would rather commission stationery supplies from Yorkshire than Newbury. Ordering in from various external areas also rather undermines any effort to curtail carbon output in light of the climate crisis it has recently announced.

It seems as though making a problem someone else's is analogous to "solving it".

Reserves

Finally, £7.97m is in the general reserve (appendix E) when only £6.5m is recommended. This begs the question as to whether the authority is continuing to make budget reductions, service changes – and a redundancy - regardless of financial necessity and instead by force of habit.